

To: MICHIE Ryan[Michie.Ryan@deq.state.or.us]; Wu, Jennifer[Wu.Jennifer@epa.gov]; Carlin, Jayne[Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov]
Cc: 'peter leinenbach'[(b) (6)]
From: SEEDS Joshua
Sent: Wed 4/10/2013 10:22:53 PM
Subject: RE: Landsat Project re think

I like the idea of going forward with Part A and Part B for 2009, 2011, and 2012.

Is the LANDFIRE method significantly different than the USFS method?

From: MICHIE Ryan
Sent: Tuesday, April 09, 2013 2:45 PM
To: 'wu.jennifer@epa.gov'; 'carlin.jayne@epa.gov'
Cc: 'peter leinenbach'; SEEDS Joshua
Subject: Landsat Project re think

Hi Guys,

I'm having some second thoughts on the landsat project.

After our discussion last week with CADMUS Peter forwarded me information on the LANDFIRE project. LANDFIRE is a federal interagency vegetation, fire/fuels mapping program. They have developed disturbance rasters (that include clear cuts) based on Landsat.

I've been looking at their disturbance products and I think it would satisfy the data needs we have for the years (1999-2008). There is a USFS product that is similar for years prior to 1999 that is good (and would work) but not exactly a perfect fit.

I'd hate to have federal money spent on an effort to produce something when these other products would probably work ok for the majority of years. We would still need data processed for years 2009, 2011, and 2012, however.

I'm wondering your thoughts on scaling the project down to just have CADMUS complete the methods document (Part A) and the processing for just the years mentioned above (Part B)?

OR...

Not doing Part B at all (I will do it) and use the money for something else. If you're looking for other project ideas I have at least one more that is more straight forward.

—

Ryan Michie

Analyst | Watershed Management Section

Oregon Department of Environmental Quality

P: (503) 229-6162

E: michie.ryan@deq.state.or.us